

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

1. Having enough money to pay for food * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
1. Having enough money to pay for food	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	166 82.6%	168 84.4%	334 83.5%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	16 8.0%	21 10.6%	37 9.3%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	13 6.5%	5 2.5%	18 4.5%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	6 3.0%	5 2.5%	11 2.8%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

Mower County Community Partnership 2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents Results by Gender

2. Having enough money to pay for household necessities such as clothing and household items * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
2. Having enough money to pay for household necessities such as clothing and household items	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	163 81.1%	158 79.4%	321 80.3%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	19 9.5%	22 11.1%	41 10.3%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	13 6.5%	10 5.0%	23 5.8%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	6 3.0%	8 4.0%	14 3.5%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

3. Having enough money to pay rent or a mortgage * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
3. Having enough money to pay rent or a mortgage	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	158 78.6%	149 74.9%	307 76.8%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	11 5.5%	19 9.5%	30 7.5%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	17 8.5%	13 6.5%	30 7.5%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	7 3.5%	5 2.5%	12 3.0%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	8 4.0%	13 6.5%	21 5.3%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

4. Having enough money to pay utility bills * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
4. Having enough money to pay utility bills	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	164 81.6%	158 79.4%	322 80.5%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	10 5.0%	20 10.1%	30 7.5%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	17 8.5%	10 5.0%	27 6.8%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	8 4.0%	8 4.0%	16 4.0%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	3 1.5%	5 1.3%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

**5. Shortage of recreational facilities such as indoor parks and trails * 77. Gender of respondent
Crosstabulation**

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
5. Shortage of recreational facilities such as indoor parks and trails	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	143 71.1%	141 70.9%	284 71.0%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	17 8.5%	16 8.0%	33 8.3%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	12 6.0%	11 5.5%	23 5.8%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	8 4.0%	12 6.0%	20 5.0%
	Unsure	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	4 2.0%	6 1.5%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	19 9.5%	15 7.5%	34 8.5%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

6. Obtaining housing due to no local rental history or prior rental history * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
6. Obtaining housing due to no local rental history or prior rental history	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	162 80.6%	140 70.4%	302 75.5%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	6 3.0%	3 1.5%	9 2.3%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	3 1.5%	5 1.3%
	Unsure	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	30 14.9%	53 26.6%	83 20.8%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

7. Credit card debt * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
7. Credit card debt	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	162 80.6%	148 74.4%	310 77.5%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	17 8.5%	17 8.5%	34 8.5%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	11 5.5%	6 3.0%	17 4.3%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	4 2.0%	14 7.0%	18 4.5%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	7 3.5%	14 7.0%	21 5.3%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

Mower County Community Partnership 2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents Results by Gender

8. Having enough money to pay for education and/or training * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
8. Having enough money to pay for education and/or training	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	135 67.2%	110 55.3%	245 61.3%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	6 3.0%	16 8.0%	22 5.5%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	13 6.5%	9 4.5%	22 5.5%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	8 4.0%	14 7.0%	22 5.5%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	39 19.4%	50 25.1%	89 22.3%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

Mower County Community Partnership 2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents Results by Gender

9. Ability to read written and printed materials for daily needs * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
9. Ability to read written and printed materials for daily needs	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	189 94.0%	189 95.0%	378 94.5%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	5 2.5%	2 1.0%	7 1.8%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	3 1.5%	4 1.0%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	4 2.0%	2 1.0%	6 1.5%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	3 1.5%	5 1.3%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

10. Sufficient education to obtain employment * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
10. Sufficient education to obtain employment	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	138 68.7%	139 69.8%	277 69.3%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	9 4.5%	14 7.0%	23 5.8%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	8 4.0%	3 1.5%	11 2.8%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	4 2.0%	6 1.5%
	Unsure	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	0 .0%	2 .5%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	42 20.9%	39 19.6%	81 20.3%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

11. Experiencing language barriers * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
11. Experiencing language barriers	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	161 80.1%	160 80.4%	321 80.3%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	18 9.0%	17 8.5%	35 8.8%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	10 5.0%	6 3.0%	16 4.0%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	8 4.0%	11 5.5%	19 4.8%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	4 2.0%	5 2.5%	9 2.3%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

12. Support for refugees or immigrants * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
12. Support for refugees or immigrants	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	155 77.1%	149 74.9%	304 76.0%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	11 5.5%	6 3.0%	17 4.3%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	7 3.5%	6 3.0%	13 3.3%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	9 4.5%	3 1.5%	12 3.0%
	Unsure	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	1 .5%	2 .5%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	18 9.0%	34 17.1%	52 13.0%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

13. Victim of discrimination * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
13. Victim of discrimination	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	183 91.0%	169 84.9%	352 88.0%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	8 4.0%	3 1.5%	11 2.8%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	2 1.0%	4 1.0%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	3 1.5%	6 3.0%	9 2.3%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	5 2.5%	19 9.5%	24 6.0%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

14. Availability of employment * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
14. Availability of employment	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	136 67.7%	114 57.3%	250 62.5%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	10 5.0%	12 6.0%	22 5.5%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	6 3.0%	9 4.5%	15 3.8%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	10 5.0%	10 5.0%	20 5.0%
	Unsure	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	39 19.4%	53 26.6%	92 23.0%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

15. Finding employment for the elderly * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
15. Finding employment for the elderly	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	104 51.7%	100 50.3%	204 51.0%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	4 2.0%	2 1.0%	6 1.5%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	7 3.5%	6 3.0%	13 3.3%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	3 1.5%	5 1.3%
	Unsure	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	1 .5%	3 .8%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	82 40.8%	87 43.7%	169 42.3%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

16. Finding employment for people with disabilities * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
16. Finding employment for people with disabilities	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	103 51.2%	96 48.2%	199 49.8%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	3 1.5%	3 1.5%	6 1.5%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	6 3.0%	5 2.5%	11 2.8%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	3 1.5%	4 2.0%	7 1.8%
	Unsure	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	0 .0%	2 .5%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	84 41.8%	91 45.7%	175 43.8%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

17. Finding transportation to work * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
17. Finding transportation to work	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	149 74.1%	134 67.3%	283 70.8%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	4 2.0%	12 6.0%	16 4.0%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	3 1.5%	3 1.5%	6 1.5%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	1 .5%	2 .5%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	44 21.9%	49 24.6%	93 23.3%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

18. Having enough money to pay for transportation * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
18. Having enough money to pay for transportation	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	178 88.6%	157 78.9%	335 83.8%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	9 4.5%	14 7.0%	23 5.8%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	10 5.0%	13 6.5%	23 5.8%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	2 1.0%	4 1.0%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	13 6.5%	15 3.8%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

19. Finding transportation for people with disabilities * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
19. Finding transportation for people with disabilities	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	123 61.2%	105 52.8%	228 57.0%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	4 2.0%	4 2.0%	8 2.0%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	4 2.0%	5 2.5%	9 2.3%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	3 1.5%	2 1.0%	5 1.3%
	Unsure	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	0 .0%	2 .5%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	65 32.3%	83 41.7%	148 37.0%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

20. Finding transportation for the elderly * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
20. Finding transportation for the elderly	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	130 64.7%	113 56.8%	243 60.8%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	7 3.5%	9 2.3%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	4 2.0%	6 3.0%	10 2.5%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	1 .5%	3 .8%
	Unsure	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	2 1.0%	4 1.0%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	61 30.3%	70 35.2%	131 32.8%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

**21. Finding transportation for youth to activities and/or services * 77. Gender of respondent
Crosstabulation**

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
21. Finding transportation for youth to activities and/or services	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	91 45.3%	83 41.7%	174 43.5%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	7 3.5%	7 3.5%	14 3.5%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	6 3.0%	7 1.8%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	2 1.0%	4 1.0%
	Unsure	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	99 49.3%	101 50.8%	200 50.0%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

22. Finding affordable quality child care * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
22. Finding affordable quality child care	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	72 35.8%	45 22.6%	117 29.3%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	7 3.5%	1 .5%	8 2.0%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	3 1.5%	2 1.0%	5 1.3%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	5 2.5%	6 1.5%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	118 58.7%	146 73.4%	264 66.0%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

23. Finding quality infant child care * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
23. Finding quality infant child care	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	73 36.3%	44 22.1%	117 29.3%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	1 .5%	3 .8%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	2 1.0%	4 1.0%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	3 1.5%	4 1.0%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	123 61.2%	149 74.9%	272 68.0%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

24. Finding quality child care during daytime hours * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
24. Finding quality child care during daytime hours	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	73 36.3%	43 21.6%	116 29.0%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	3 1.5%	2 1.0%	5 1.3%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	4 2.0%	2 1.0%	6 1.5%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	3 1.5%	4 1.0%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	120 59.7%	149 74.9%	269 67.3%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

**25. Finding quality child care during second/third shift (nighttime) * 77. Gender of respondent
Crosstabulation**

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
25. Finding quality child care during second/third shift (nighttime)	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	71 35.3%	43 21.6%	114 28.5%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	1 .5%	3 .8%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	3 1.5%	0 .0%	3 .8%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	5 2.5%	5 1.3%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	125 62.2%	150 75.4%	275 68.8%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

26. Having enough money to pay for preschool * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
26. Having enough money to pay for preschool	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	72 35.8%	41 20.6%	113 28.3%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	3 1.5%	2 1.0%	5 1.3%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	3 1.5%	1 .5%	4 1.0%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	4 2.0%	6 1.5%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	121 60.2%	151 75.9%	272 68.0%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

**27. Finding quality emergency child care or child care for sick children * 77. Gender of respondent
Crosstabulation**

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
27. Finding quality emergency child care or child care for sick children	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	71 35.3%	43 21.6%	114 28.5%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	6 3.0%	2 1.0%	8 2.0%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	5 2.5%	7 1.8%
	Unsure	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	122 60.7%	147 73.9%	269 67.3%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

28. Children bullied or harmed in school * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
28. Children bullied or harmed in school	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	90 44.8%	69 34.7%	159 39.8%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	3 1.5%	4 2.0%	7 1.8%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	2 1.0%	3 .8%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	Unsure	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	3 1.5%	0 .0%	3 .8%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	104 51.7%	123 61.8%	227 56.8%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

29. Adult alcohol abuse * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
29. Adult alcohol abuse	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	176 87.6%	168 84.4%	344 86.0%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	4 2.0%	6 3.0%	10 2.5%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	3 1.5%	2 1.0%	5 1.3%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Unsure	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	17 8.5%	22 11.1%	39 9.8%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

30. Underage alcohol abuse * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
30. Underage alcohol abuse	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	126 62.7%	105 52.8%	231 57.8%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	6 3.0%	8 2.0%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	4 2.0%	2 1.0%	6 1.5%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	1 .5%	2 .5%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	68 33.8%	85 42.7%	153 38.3%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

31. Adult drug abuse * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
31. Adult drug abuse	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	183 91.0%	170 85.4%	353 88.3%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	3 1.5%	3 1.5%	6 1.5%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	1 .5%	3 .8%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	12 6.0%	25 12.6%	37 9.3%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

32. Youth drug abuse * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
32. Youth drug abuse	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	132 65.7%	108 54.3%	240 60.0%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	3 1.5%	5 1.3%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	1 .5%	2 .5%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	65 32.3%	87 43.7%	152 38.0%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

33. Victim of property crime * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
33. Victim of property crime	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	180 89.6%	177 88.9%	357 89.3%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	9 4.5%	6 3.0%	15 3.8%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	7 3.5%	5 2.5%	12 3.0%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	1 .5%	3 .8%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	3 1.5%	10 5.0%	13 3.3%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

34. Victim of violent crime * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
34. Victim of violent crime	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	197 98.0%	181 91.0%	378 94.5%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	3 1.5%	4 1.0%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	1 .5%	2 .5%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	14 7.0%	16 4.0%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

35. Victim of adult domestic violence * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
35. Victim of adult domestic violence	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	195 97.0%	182 91.5%	377 94.3%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	2 1.0%	4 1.0%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	3 1.5%	14 7.0%	17 4.3%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

36. Victim of child abuse * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
36. Victim of child abuse	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	150 74.6%	132 66.3%	282 70.5%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	3 1.5%	3 .8%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	51 25.4%	64 32.2%	115 28.8%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

37. Victim of sexual abuse * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
37. Victim of sexual abuse	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	194 96.5%	183 92.0%	377 94.3%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	1 .5%	2 .5%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Unsure	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	4 2.0%	15 7.5%	19 4.8%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

38. Availability of health insurance * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
38. Availability of health insurance	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	178 88.6%	165 82.9%	343 85.8%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	10 5.0%	14 7.0%	24 6.0%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	5 2.5%	12 6.0%	17 4.3%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	5 2.5%	5 2.5%	10 2.5%
	Unsure	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	0 .0%	2 .5%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	3 1.5%	4 1.0%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

39. Availability of dental insurance * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
39. Availability of dental insurance	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	158 78.6%	141 70.9%	299 74.8%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	11 5.5%	21 10.6%	32 8.0%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	13 6.5%	18 9.0%	31 7.8%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	8 4.0%	6 3.0%	14 3.5%
	Unsure	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	0 .0%	2 .5%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	9 4.5%	13 6.5%	22 5.5%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

40. Having enough money to pay for doctor visits * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
40. Having enough money to pay for doctor visits	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	171 85.1%	154 77.4%	325 81.3%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	11 5.5%	19 9.5%	30 7.5%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	8 4.0%	15 7.5%	23 5.8%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	7 3.5%	8 4.0%	15 3.8%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	4 2.0%	3 1.5%	7 1.8%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

**41. Having enough money to pay for prescription medications * 77. Gender of respondent
Crosstabulation**

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
41. Having enough money to pay for prescription medications	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	179 89.1%	157 78.9%	336 84.0%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	9 4.5%	15 7.5%	24 6.0%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	5 2.5%	16 8.0%	21 5.3%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	5 2.5%	7 3.5%	12 3.0%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	3 1.5%	4 2.0%	7 1.8%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

42. Having enough money to pay for eye care services, such as exams and products * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
42. Having enough money to pay for eye care services, such as exams and products	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	174 86.6%	153 76.9%	327 81.8%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	10 5.0%	23 11.6%	33 8.3%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	4 2.0%	14 7.0%	18 4.5%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	8 4.0%	4 2.0%	12 3.0%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	5 2.5%	5 2.5%	10 2.5%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

43. Getting health care for an elderly person * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
43. Getting health care for an elderly person	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	147 73.1%	123 61.8%	270 67.5%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	4 2.0%	4 2.0%	8 2.0%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	3 1.5%	5 1.3%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	5 2.5%	6 1.5%
	Unsure	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	4 2.0%	1 .5%	5 1.3%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	43 21.4%	63 31.7%	106 26.5%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

44. Getting health care for a person with a disability * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
44. Getting health care for a person with a disability	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	136 67.7%	113 56.8%	249 62.3%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	3 1.5%	5 1.3%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	1 .5%	2 .5%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	2 1.0%	4 1.0%
	Unsure	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	4 2.0%	2 1.0%	6 1.5%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	56 27.9%	78 39.2%	134 33.5%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

45. Experiencing a lot of anxiety or stress * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
45. Experiencing a lot of anxiety or stress	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	143 71.1%	121 60.8%	264 66.0%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	28 13.9%	36 18.1%	64 16.0%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	25 12.4%	23 11.6%	48 12.0%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	5 2.5%	14 7.0%	19 4.8%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	5 2.5%	5 1.3%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

46. Depression or other mental health problems * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
46. Depression or other mental health problems	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	173 86.1%	157 78.9%	330 82.5%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	13 6.5%	12 6.0%	25 6.3%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	8 4.0%	8 4.0%	16 4.0%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	4 2.0%	13 6.5%	17 4.3%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	3 1.5%	9 4.5%	12 3.0%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

Mower County Community Partnership 2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents Results by Gender

47. Getting care for a person with a serious illness * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
47. Getting care for a person with a serious illness	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	168 83.6%	144 72.4%	312 78.0%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	4 2.0%	5 2.5%	9 2.3%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	5 2.5%	0 .0%	5 1.3%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	5 2.5%	7 1.8%
	Unsure	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	2 1.0%	4 1.0%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	20 10.0%	43 21.6%	63 15.8%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

48. Overcrowded housing * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
48. Overcrowded housing	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	190 94.5%	180 90.5%	370 92.5%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	3 1.5%	1 .5%	4 1.0%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	0 .0%	2 .5%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	0 .0%	2 .5%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	4 2.0%	18 9.0%	22 5.5%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

49. Living in housing facility that needs major repairs * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
49. Living in housing facility that needs major repairs	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	174 86.6%	159 79.9%	333 83.3%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	8 4.0%	11 5.5%	19 4.8%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	8 4.0%	6 3.0%	14 3.5%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	4 2.0%	8 4.0%	12 3.0%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	7 3.5%	15 7.5%	22 5.5%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

**50. Health concerns related to housing, such as lead, mold, or asbestos * 77. Gender of respondent
Crosstabulation**

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
50. Health concerns related to housing, such as lead, mold, or asbestos	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	188 93.5%	170 85.4%	358 89.5%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	4 2.0%	12 6.0%	16 4.0%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	4 2.0%	3 1.5%	7 1.8%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	1 .5%	3 .8%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	3 1.5%	13 6.5%	16 4.0%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

51. Household flooding * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
51. Household flooding	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	185 92.0%	173 86.9%	358 89.5%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	7 3.5%	8 4.0%	15 3.8%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	6 3.0%	7 3.5%	13 3.3%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	3 1.5%	5 1.3%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	8 4.0%	9 2.3%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

52. Poor water quality * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
52. Poor water quality	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	184 91.5%	176 88.4%	360 90.0%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	6 3.0%	9 4.5%	15 3.8%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	4 2.0%	0 .0%	4 1.0%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	6 3.0%	5 2.5%	11 2.8%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	9 4.5%	10 2.5%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

53. Having enough money to pay for legal help * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
53. Having enough money to pay for legal help	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	172 85.6%	152 76.4%	324 81.0%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	6 3.0%	5 2.5%	11 2.8%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	3 1.5%	5 2.5%	8 2.0%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	3 1.5%	3 1.5%	6 1.5%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	17 8.5%	34 17.1%	51 12.8%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

**54. Access to information technology such as a computer and Internet * 77. Gender of respondent
Crosstabulation**

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
54. Access to information technology such as a computer and Internet	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	174 86.6%	161 80.9%	335 83.8%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	10 5.0%	10 5.0%	20 5.0%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	4 2.0%	6 1.5%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	5 2.5%	2 1.0%	7 1.8%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	10 5.0%	22 11.1%	32 8.0%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

**55. Lack of computer skills to use the computer or Internet * 77. Gender of respondent
Crosstabulation**

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
55. Lack of computer skills to use the computer or Internet	Not a problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	159 79.1%	158 79.4%	317 79.3%
	Minor problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	16 8.0%	12 6.0%	28 7.0%
	Moderate problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	14 7.0%	8 4.0%	22 5.5%
	Major problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	6 3.0%	4 2.0%	10 2.5%
	Unsure	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	Does not apply	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	6 3.0%	16 8.0%	22 5.5%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

56. Are there any other issues in Mower County that affect you and your family that we have not already talked about * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
56. Are there any other issues in Mower County	A lot of crime around town	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Activities for people ages 30-45	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%

Mower County Community Partnership 2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents Results by Gender

56. Are there any other issues in Mower County that affect you and your family that we have not already talked about * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
56. Are there any other issues in Mower County that affect you and your family that we have not already talked about	Big issue with illegal immigration and resources going to pay for them	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	Building the jail- can society afford something this big?	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Childhood reading: United Way should sponsor Dolly Parton Imagination Library.	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	Cleaner home	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	College expense	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	County wants to raise real estate tax by 2%	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	Courthouse, high taxes	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Declining rate of newspapers	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Downtown not being run well	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	Drugs meth lab, illegal aliens, illegal workers	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Economy	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

56. Are there any other issues in Mower County that affect you and your family that we have not already talked about * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
56. Are there any other issues in Mower County that affect you and your family that we have not already talked about	Educating immigrants	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	Entertainment/more recreation	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	Expensive courthouse	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Flooding	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	1 .5%	2 .5%
	Happy with jail, politicians	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	Have more stuff to do for families- places to take kids	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	Health care, education for nutrition	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Healthcare	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	High taxes	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	7 3.5%	7 3.5%	14 3.5%
	High taxes, too much spending on social issues, too much spending on illegal immigration	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	How taxes are spent	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%

Mower County Community Partnership 2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents Results by Gender

56. Are there any other issues in Mower County that affect you and your family that we have not already talked about * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
56. Are there any other issues in Mower County that affect you and your family that we have not already talked about	Illegal immigrants	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	1 .5%	3 .8%
	Illegal immigrants and no one doing anything about it. Thoroughly disgusted they've let this happen	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	Illegal immigrants shipped in by Hormel	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	Illegal immigrants shouldn't be able to work	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	0 .0%	2 .5%
	Illegal immigration- city council and mayor don't want to do anything about it to help those who are	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Immigration	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	Immigration problems- immigrants receiving more assistance than citizens)	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	Immigration, diversity	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Indecision of commissioners & councils	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Jail	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	1 .5%	3 .8%
	Just annexed into city and want to charge lots of money	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%

Mower County Community Partnership 2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents Results by Gender

56. Are there any other issues in Mower County that affect you and your family that we have not already talked about * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
56. Are there any other issues in Mower County that affect you and your family that we have not already talked about	Lack of teenage activities/extra curricular, youth groups	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Lights at outdoor parks	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Lost of medical treatment	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	Lots of Mexicans	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	Major concern: people in town still burn garbage. Said there is an ordinance(or law) against	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	Mower County services suck	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	N/A	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	155 77.1%	147 73.9%	302 75.5%
	New attractions/publish results	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	New carpet	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	No street lighting	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	Not enough businesses in town, population is going down instead of up	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%

Mower County Community Partnership 2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents Results by Gender

56. Are there any other issues in Mower County that affect you and your family that we have not already talked about * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
56. Are there any other issues in Mower County that affect you and your family that we have not already talked about	Not enough jobs	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Not many opportunities	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Not much assistance from county in helping with cleaning up after tornado-up to homeowners to clean	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Paying for healthcare	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	People drive poorly	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	Pit Bull problem	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	Police: response time, too arrogant	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	President Obama	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Problems with local law enforcement being a nuisance	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Promote new businesses, taxes, jail	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Property taxes	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	1 .5%	3 .8%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

56. Are there any other issues in Mower County that affect you and your family that we have not already talked about * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
56. Are there any other issues in Mower County that affect you and your family that we have not already talked about	Property taxes for jail	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Property taxes, school taxes	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	Protection from police department	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	Quality of life	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Road work	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	1 .5%	2 .5%
	Road work, people very judgmental	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	Schools dumping fine arts programs	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	Sewer, water quality	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Single dwelling house, how many families can live in one place	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	Speeding	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	Swine flu hurt hog business	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

56. Are there any other issues in Mower County that affect you and your family that we have not already talked about * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
56. Are there any other issues in Mower County that affect you and your family that we have not already talked about	Taxes (new jail causes taxes to go up)	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	The dusty spray on roads, taxes, jail	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	Too many to list	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Transportation	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	Unable to qualify for aid	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Upset pool closed- if they can afford to pay for a new jail, they can afford to pay to keep the pool open. And	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	Value of property is too high. Politicians don't listen to the people	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	Would like more language classes- would like to learn Spanish. Need to get bike trail completed	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Young kids (22-26) employment	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
Total	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%	

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

57. What is your ZIP code? * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
57. What is your ZIP code?	Other	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	10 5.0%	9 4.5%	19 4.8%
	55912	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	132 65.7%	137 68.8%	269 67.3%
	55909	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	9 4.5%	10 5.0%	19 4.8%
	55918	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	6 3.0%	6 3.0%	12 3.0%
	55936	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	12 6.0%	10 5.0%	22 5.5%
	55951	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	10 5.0%	8 4.0%	18 4.5%
	55953	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	6 3.0%	5 2.5%	11 2.8%
	55967	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	5 2.5%	4 2.0%	9 2.3%
	55970	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	5 2.5%	6 3.0%	11 2.8%
	55926	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	6 3.0%	4 2.0%	10 2.5%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

57. What is your ZIP code? -OTHER * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
57. What is your ZIP code? -OTHER		Count	191	190	381
		% within 77. Gender of respondent	95.0%	95.5%	95.3%
	55917	Count	2	0	2
		% within 77. Gender of respondent	1.0%	.0%	.5%
	55933	Count	3	0	3
		% within 77. Gender of respondent	1.5%	.0%	.8%
	55950	Count	1	1	2
		% within 77. Gender of respondent	.5%	.5%	.5%
	55973	Count	1	1	2
	% within 77. Gender of respondent	.5%	.5%	.5%	
55975	Count	0	2	2	
	% within 77. Gender of respondent	.0%	1.0%	.5%	
55977	Count	1	1	2	
	% within 77. Gender of respondent	.5%	.5%	.5%	
55982	Count	2	4	6	
	% within 77. Gender of respondent	1.0%	2.0%	1.5%	
Total		Count	201	199	400
		% within 77. Gender of respondent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

58. What city or township do you live in? * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
58. What city or township do you live in?	Other	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	73 36.3%	65 32.7%	138 34.5%
	Austin	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	128 63.7%	134 67.3%	262 65.5%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

58. What city or township do you live in? -OTHER * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
58. What city or township do you live in? -OTHER		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	128 63.7%	134 67.3%	262 65.5%
	Adams	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	9 4.5%	10 5.0%	19 4.8%
	Bennington	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Blooming Prairie	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	0 .0%	2 .5%
	Brownsdale	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	3 1.5%	5 2.5%	8 2.0%
	Dexter	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	6 3.0%	4 2.0%	10 2.5%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

58. What city or township do you live in? -OTHER * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
58. What city or township do you live in? -OTHER	Elkton	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	3 1.5%	0 .0%	3 .8%
	Frankford	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	3 1.5%	3 .8%
	Grand Meadow	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	11 5.5%	8 4.0%	19 4.8%
	Lansing	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	2 1.0%	4 1.0%
	Le Roy	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	11 5.5%	8 4.0%	19 4.8%
	Lyle	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	6 3.0%	5 2.5%	11 2.8%
	Mapleview	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	2 1.0%	3 .8%
	Pleasant Valley	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	Racine	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	5 2.5%	4 2.0%	9 2.3%
	Red Rock Twp	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	3 1.5%	1 .5%	4 1.0%
	Rose Creek	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	4 2.0%	6 3.0%	10 2.5%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

58. What city or township do you live in? -OTHER * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
58. What city or township do you live in? -OTHER	Sargeant Twp	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	1 .5%	2 .5%
	Taopi	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	1 .5%	2 .5%
	Waltham	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	4 2.0%	6 1.5%
	Windom	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	0 .0%	2 .5%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

Mower County Community Partnership 2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents Results by Gender

59. Do you live in the Northeast, Northwest, Southeast or Southwest quadrant of the City of Austin?
* 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
59. Do you live in the Northeast, Northwest, Southeast or Southwest quadrant of the City of Austin?	NE	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	21 16.4%	24 17.9%	45 17.2%
	NW	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	45 35.2%	48 35.8%	93 35.5%
	SE	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	23 18.0%	15 11.2%	38 14.5%
	SW	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	34 26.6%	40 29.9%	74 28.2%
	Unsure/NA	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	5 3.9%	7 5.2%	12 4.6%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	128 100.0%	134 100.0%	262 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

**60. How many adults age 65 years or older live in your household? * 77. Gender of respondent
Crosstabulation**

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
60. How many adults age 65 years or older live in your household?	0	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	113 56.2%	135 67.8%	248 62.0%
	1	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	37 18.4%	30 15.1%	67 16.8%
	2	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	49 24.4%	32 16.1%	81 20.3%
	3	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	5 or more	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Refused/NA	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	2 1.0%	2 .5%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

Mower County Community Partnership 2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents Results by Gender

61. How many children ages 7 to 18 live in your household? * 77. Gender of respondent
Cross-tabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
61. How many children ages 7 to 18 live in your household?	0	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	149 74.1%	149 74.9%	298 74.5%
	1	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	28 13.9%	22 11.1%	50 12.5%
	2	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	17 8.5%	17 8.5%	34 8.5%
	3	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	6 3.0%	4 2.0%	10 2.5%
	4	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	4 2.0%	4 1.0%
	5 or more	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	1 .5%	2 .5%
	Refused/NA	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	2 1.0%	2 .5%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

**62. How many children age 6 and under live in your household? * 77. Gender of respondent
Crosstabulation**

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
62. How many children age 6 and under live in your household?	0	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	167 83.1%	176 88.4%	343 85.8%
	1	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	17 8.5%	15 7.5%	32 8.0%
	2	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	15 7.5%	5 2.5%	20 5.0%
	3	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	1 .5%	2 .5%
	5 or more	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Refused/NA	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	2 1.0%	2 .5%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

Mower County Community Partnership 2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents Results by Gender

63. How many total people live in your household? * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
63. How many total people live in your household?	1	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	35 17.4%	49 24.6%	84 21.0%
	2	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	88 43.8%	83 41.7%	171 42.8%
	3	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	27 13.4%	24 12.1%	51 12.8%
	4	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	33 16.4%	21 10.6%	54 13.5%
	5	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	12 6.0%	7 3.5%	19 4.8%
	6	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	3 1.5%	8 4.0%	11 2.8%
	7	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	2 1.0%	4 1.0%
	8 or more	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	2 1.0%	3 .8%
	Refused/NA	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	3 1.5%	3 .8%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

Mower County Community Partnership 2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents Results by Gender

64. Which of the following best describes your household? * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
64. Which of the following best describes your household?	Two parent household with child(ren)	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	64 31.8%	59 29.6%	123 30.8%
	Two adults with no children	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	84 41.8%	79 39.7%	163 40.8%
	Two Grandparent household with child(ren)	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	4 2.0%	1 .5%	5 1.3%
	Single parent household with child(ren)	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	3 1.5%	7 3.5%	10 2.5%
	Adult living alone	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	40 19.9%	49 24.6%	89 22.3%
	Other	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	6 3.0%	2 1.0%	8 2.0%
	Refused/NA	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	2 1.0%	2 .5%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

**64. Which of the following best describes your household? -OTHER * 77. Gender of respondent
Crosstabulation**

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
64. Which of the following best describes your household? -OTHER		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	195 97.0%	197 99.0%	392 98.0%
	3 adults	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	1 .5%	2 .5%
	4 single roommates	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	5 adults	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	All adults	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Boarding house	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	1 .5%	1 .3%
	Girlfriend, her mom and two kids	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Home	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

65. What is your age? * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
65. What is your age?	18 to 24	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	19 9.5%	14 7.0%	33 8.3%
	25 to 34	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	19 9.5%	15 7.5%	34 8.5%
	35 to 44	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	31 15.4%	37 18.6%	68 17.0%
	45 to 54	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	37 18.4%	44 22.1%	81 20.3%
	55 to 64	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	30 14.9%	34 17.1%	64 16.0%
	65 to 74	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	26 12.9%	22 11.1%	48 12.0%
	75 or older	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	39 19.4%	33 16.6%	72 18.0%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

66. What is your primary ethnic background? * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
66. What is your primary ethnic background?	Causasion/white	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	195 97.0%	196 98.5%	391 97.8%
	African American/Black	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	0 .0%	2 .5%
	Refused/NA	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	0 .0%	2 .5%
	Multicultural	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	3 1.5%	5 1.3%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

67. What is your current marital status? * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
67. What is your current marital status?	Single, never married	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	30 14.9%	29 14.6%	59 14.8%
	Living with a partner in a marriage-like relationship	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	8 4.0%	8 4.0%	16 4.0%
	Married	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	133 66.2%	120 60.3%	253 63.3%
	Separated or divorced	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	17 8.5%	16 8.0%	33 8.3%
	Widowed	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	11 5.5%	25 12.6%	36 9.0%
	Refused/NA	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	1 .5%	3 .8%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

Mower County Community Partnership 2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents Results by Gender

68. What is your current employment status? * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
68. What is your current employment status?	Currently employed full-time	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	88 43.8%	77 38.7%	165 41.3%
	Currently employed part-time	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	15 7.5%	34 17.1%	49 12.3%
	Homemaker	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	7 3.5%	7 1.8%
	Retired	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	68 33.8%	62 31.2%	130 32.5%
	Student	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	6 3.0%	2 1.0%	8 2.0%
	Unemployed	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	11 5.5%	9 4.5%	20 5.0%
	Self-employed	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	11 5.5%	5 2.5%	16 4.0%
	Disabled	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	3 1.5%	5 1.3%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

68. What is your current employment status? -OTHER * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
68. What is your current employment status? -OTHER		Count	201	199	400
		% within 77. Gender of respondent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total		Count	201	199	400
		% within 77. Gender of respondent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

69. Minnesota Family Investment Plan (MFIP) * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
69. Minnesota Family Investment Plan (MFIP)	Yes	Count	2	0	2
		% within 77. Gender of respondent	1.0%	.0%	.5%
	No	Count	195	196	391
		% within 77. Gender of respondent	97.0%	98.5%	97.8%
	Unsure/NA	Count	3	2	5
		% within 77. Gender of respondent	1.5%	1.0%	1.3%
	Refused	Count	1	1	2
		% within 77. Gender of respondent	.5%	.5%	.5%
Total		Count	201	199	400
		% within 77. Gender of respondent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

70. Social Security Income * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
70. Social Security Income	Yes	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	74 36.8%	70 35.2%	144 36.0%
	No	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	126 62.7%	126 63.3%	252 63.0%
	Unsure/NA	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	2 1.0%	2 .5%
	Refused	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	1 .5%	2 .5%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

Mower County Community Partnership 2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents Results by Gender

71. Is your Social Security Income for retired, disability, or survivor? * 77. Gender of respondent
Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
71. Is your Social Security Income for retired, disability, or survivor?	Retired	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	66 89.2%	61 84.7%	127 87.0%
	Disability	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	7 9.5%	7 9.7%	14 9.6%
	Survivor	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 1.4%	1 1.4%	2 1.4%
	Unsure/NA	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	0 .0%	3 4.2%	3 2.1%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	74 100.0%	72 100.0%	146 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

72. Supplemental Security Income * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
72. Supplemental Security Income	Yes	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	5 2.5%	8 4.0%	13 3.3%
	No	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	193 96.0%	189 95.0%	382 95.5%
	Unsure/NA	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	2 1.0%	1 .5%	3 .8%
	Refused	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	1 .5%	2 .5%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

73. Child Support * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
73. Child Support	Yes	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	3 1.5%	6 3.0%	9 2.3%
	No	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	197 98.0%	192 96.5%	389 97.3%
	Refused	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	1 .5%	2 .5%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

74. Money from the county or general assistance * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
74. Money from the county or general assistance	Yes	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	8 4.0%	8 4.0%	16 4.0%
	No	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	191 95.0%	190 95.5%	381 95.3%
	Unsure/NA	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Refused	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	1 .5%	2 .5%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

75. Unemployment Insurance * 77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
75. Unemployment Insurance	Yes	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	3 1.5%	4 1.0%
	No	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	198 98.5%	195 98.0%	393 98.3%
	Unsure/NA	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Refused	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	1 .5%	1 .5%	2 .5%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%

**Mower County Community Partnership
2009 Needs Assessment - All Other Ethnicities Respondents
Results by Gender**

76. Which of the following ranges corresponds to your total household income before taxes for 2008? *
77. Gender of respondent Crosstabulation

			77. Gender of respondent		Total
			Male	Female	
76. Which of the following ranges corresponds to your total household income before taxes for 2008?	Less than \$10,000	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	7 3.5%	10 5.0%	17 4.3%
	\$10,000 to \$14,999	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	6 3.0%	9 4.5%	15 3.8%
	\$15,000 to \$24,999	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	35 17.4%	28 14.1%	63 15.8%
	\$25,000 to \$34,999	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	28 13.9%	29 14.6%	57 14.3%
	\$35,000 to \$49,999	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	35 17.4%	45 22.6%	80 20.0%
	\$50,000 to \$74,999	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	28 13.9%	32 16.1%	60 15.0%
	\$75,000 to \$99,999	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	17 8.5%	24 12.1%	41 10.3%
	\$100,000 or above	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	17 8.5%	6 3.0%	23 5.8%
	Refused/NA	Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	28 13.9%	16 8.0%	44 11.0%
Total		Count % within 77. Gender of respondent	201 100.0%	199 100.0%	400 100.0%